## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Daniel L. Staley, #273165,	) C.A. #9:07-2899-PMD
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	ORDER
Jose Vargas, Federal Bureau Investigatory, individual capacity, et al	) )
Defendants.	) ) _)

This matter is before the court upon the magistrate judge's recommendation that this action be dismissed. Because plaintiff is proceeding <u>pro</u> <u>se</u>, this matter was referred to the magistrate judge.<sup>1</sup>

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the magistrate judge's report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). However, absent prompt objection by a dissatisfied party, it appears that Congress did not intend for the district court to review the factual and legal conclusions of the magistrate judge. <u>Thomas v. Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140 (1985). Additionally, any party who fails to file timely, written objections to the magistrate judge's report pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) waives the right to raise those objections at the appellate court level. <u>United States v. Schronce</u>, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).<sup>2</sup> No objections have been filed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 United States Code, § 636(b)(1)(B), and Local Rule 73.02 (DSC)., the magistrate judge is authorized to review all pretrial matters in such *pro se* cases, and submit findings and recommendations to this Court.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In <u>Wright v. Collins</u>, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985), the court held "that a <u>pro se</u> litigant must receive fair notification of the <u>consequences</u> of failure to object to a magistrate judge's report before such a procedural default will result in waiver of the right to appeal. The

9:07-cv-02899-PMD Date Filed 10/12/07 Entry Number 9 Page 2 of 2

to the magistrate judge's report.

A review of the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's report is incorporated into this order.

For the reasons articulated by the magistrate judge,

**IT IS HEREWITH ORDERED** that this case is dismissed pursuant to the "three strikes" rule of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and plaintiff's Motion to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* is **DENIED**.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

PATRICK MICHAEL DUFFY
United States District Judge

October 12, 2007 Charleston, South Carolina

## NOTICE OF APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this Order within **thirty** (30) **days** from the date hereof pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

notice must be sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him of what is required." <u>Id.</u> at 846. Plaintiff was advised in a clear manner that his objections had to be filed within ten (10) days, and he received notice of the <u>consequences</u> at the appellate level of his failure to object to the magistrate judge's report